1	Planning Board Meeting
2	7pm, October 22, 2024, Meeting House
3 4	Members Present: Greg Meeh (Chair), Rich Marcou, Joshua Gordon, Logan Snyder, Kent Ruesswick (BOS rep), Megan Portnoy, Brendan O'Donnell (Alternate)
5	Members Absent: John Schneider (Vice Chair), Hillary Nelson (Alternate)
6 7	Others Present: Ken Folsom, Tyson Miller, Beth Blair, Scott Doherty, Clifton Mathieu, Tim Sheedy, Esq., Sam Foisie, Mariette Leahy, Tim McGibbon, Erol Duymazlar, Keith Anestasy
8 9 10 11 12	 Agenda Call to Order Greg Meeh called the meeting to order at 7:01 PM New Business Meridian Land Services: Pre-Application Conceptual located at 114 West
13	Road, Mixed Use (Residential and Commercial) Cluster Development
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Sam Foisie from Meridian Land Services represented Keith Anestasy, owner and applicant. Sam and his team wanted the board to know they were introducing this project to the town early on to get an understanding of the clustering of the residential portions and an understanding of how the density calculation is within the ordinances before they start looking into potential commercial uses. They believe the planning board would find the proposal favorable and aligning with the towns Master Plan.
21 22 23 24 25 26	They are looking at accessing the site through approximately where the existing access is currently located. They know this is governed by DOT and will work with them accordingly. The plan is to cluster residential on the flat plateau and the road will move past the wet land where there is an existing woods road which does need improving leading to a commercial area in the back. They are currently exploring preliminary commercial options. They would like an
27 28	understanding of the residential from the board before they explore options in the front and potential options in the back. Greg asked for more information on
29 30 31	what they meant for the front. Sam stated that the front portion that abuts the road is too small for commercial options, so they are looking at acquiring some portions of the adjacent property to make for a better commercial pad with
32	proximity to the highway. To maximize the site, they are looking into putting in a
33 34	public water supply well. Sam has done preliminary calculations for site loading and what size well would be required the protected well radius is shown beyond

what is required. Houses will be served by individual septic systems; some might

be combined if they are a duplex unit. The commercial portion would have their own. The commercial portion would have their own septic systems. It is very preliminary and will take some input from the board before they get going on design work. Sam said they do want a product that fits well the town would like.

 Kent asked if they had done any boring to see what is underneath the soil. Sam said that they have not yet but based off the knowledge of the site the way it was explained that a lot of that was used for fill for the highways and from looking at the topography he thinks it is good material and in the towns aquifer protection district which does mean it drains well there so he expects the public water supply to yield high enough to support this development.

Kent asked if they had dug any test pits and Sam said they have not yet, he said once they have a more concrete plan, basically after this meeting they will make adjustments to the concepts to where storm water needs to go, expect septics to go and then he will be sending his soil scientist out to inspect the test pits that are dug.

Greg brought up the Master Plan and the need for housing, but it also identifies concerns about tax base, tax rate and how residential development don't meet the cost so to put in this type of development does put a burden on other residents if we do not have mixed use. The purpose of this is to keep the tax rate bearable for everyone including the people living in the new development. Greg suggested that there be more focus on retail/commercial to help us find a financial balance. Greg asked in regard to the small front section if they had subdivided part of this property off earlier and Sam said it was purchased after the subdivision occurred, Keith responded that it was done simultaneously.

Joshua asked if there is anything on the 2-3 lots east of the entrance and Sam said there is an existing house on the knoll but it is vacant at this time. Greg said that it would not be too difficult to push some of that back into the residential back from West Road enough to have more commercial near the road. Sam said they would manipulate the plan to where the housing would work with commercial use and would like to separate it with some form of landscaping or distance or the combination.

Greg brought up the town's interest in energy and areas near three phase power, which your area is, and possible development for commercial solar selection and asked if they have considered that in the back area. Sam said they have not

talked about that option, but he does have another client that does this and if the numbers work, they are not opposed to this option.

Greg brought up impact on services and we are looking for the balance of generating income. Sam felt residents would be less likely to use the town's infrastructure and that they would be more likely to get on the highway to use the town of Concord with the exception of registering a vehicle.

Greg asked if they had done a calculation of impact on the school. Sam said they did not. Erol Duymazlar, (developer consultant for the owner) came forward regarding taxes, and shared that they plan to incorporate a mix of housing styles for diversity, one of the plans is ranch style home. He stated that in his experience they have found that if they build ranch style homes, not only does it attract families of younger folks but also for 55 and older. The older folks have a much lower draw on the school systems and that they will provide data for that down the road. He stated that in the past, communities that have done this approach have had a positive impact in terms of tax revenue.

Brendan asked what percentage is planned on being common open space on the map and where is this located and what variances do you anticipate needing for this project? Sam said common open space is hard to determine without an end user for the commercial, but the obvious answer is the internal portion and not sure what size that is. Greg stated that there is a limit to how much wetland can be counted towards that area.

Greg asked about the dimensional allocation and the dimensional calculation. Sam said they took the entire portion of the lot and then divided that by the minimum lot size, (an acre) which gets them to approximately 37 units. Then if you apply a 10% bonus, one of them being a public water supply well increases that to about 40. Greg stated that you are completely using the entire dimensional allocation for those 40 units for the entire lot. Sam said yes, they are. Greg asked where the dimensional allocation for the commercial comes from and Sam responded that in reading the ordinance it was not specifically clear on how to do that and that is why we are coming before the board conceptually to understand the towns mixed use between residential and commercial.

Joshua had concerns about the rest of the commercial zone across from 93 and what kind of potential large messy industrial use, and brought up the gun range, which gets complaints frequently and putting a lot of residents there might raise

concerns and how they might mitigate those issues that could come up. Sam said there should be an obligation on anyone moving in to understand what the existing uses are, the shooting range and know that they were there first.

Secondly, when you purchase a place it is part of a mixed use and the residents should be put on notice, either in the marketing for it that there is commercial use on the front or back portion and then third, there are some design elements that they can incorporate such as landscaping that provides visual barriers and physical barriers and extreme you could install berms. They would look for commercial use that is cohesive to the community. Greg wanted everyone to be aware that there is significant highway noise in this location regardless and pointed out that steep slope and wet land are not excluded from the area calculation.

Megan addressed that the Canterbury residents want to maintain the character of the town and she said the design plans look cookie cutter similar to Austin Texas where she is from. Sam replied that if there were any suggestions to make them in line with the town of Canterbury, he assured that the design team would be amendable to them. Greg said there is some guidance in the farmstead design standard section in the zoning ordinance. Erol stated that they have not engaged an architect yet but will as they proceed further but that they are sensitive to Canterbury and the exterior architecture will be much more impressive when they come back.

Joshua asked if floorplans are 2 or 3 bedrooms? Erol stated they vary but thinking 2-3 bedroom floor plans with flexibility. Joshua encouraged three bedrooms for the purpose of families. Greg pointed out that our master plan talks specifically about smaller for downsizing seniors and couples starting out and a mix is really what our direction is. Erol will bring back more samples at the next meeting. Erol brought up the possibility of a main house with an ADU and that they would like to explore this option.

Greg wanted to revisit Brendan's question about cluster development and reserving open space and the requirement is at least 50% of the space reserved for common use, so it needs to be put into calculations. Greg said this is a conditional use permit and this allows some flexibility but are new to the board and we are still learning about some of the details of how CUPs work. Our building permit cap ordinance currently allows ¼ of those permits to one developer per year.

Megan asked if there was an estimated timeline for this project and Sam replied that they are going to move through the process methodically and appropriate, but the goal is to start construction when the ground thaws, which is April or May 2025. They do need to obtain other permits from the state prior.

Kent asked what kind of commercial development do you see? Keith responded something like Vault storage on Route 106. Sam said boat storage would be cohesive with the residents and minimal traffic.

Kent asked if you are going to plan on subdividing the piece up front and Sam said they are planning on doing a land condo, so it will remain as one lot that separates the houses as a unit and there would be limited common area to essentially give people the rights of a yard around their house and the open space which would be the remainder of the road infrastructure.

Kent asked if there would be classified roads through or driveways and Sam said it would be a private way, a road that is not maintained by the town, it would be maintained by the association. The main road would have some radius to keep travel speeds slow.

After lengthy discussion, Greg stated that the board would like to see a more definite proposal and what commercial is and how it works in relationship because it is all one and needs to work together. Sam asked if the board is looking for a concept commercial and Brandan explained that if the proposal were a condominium with numbers of units you would simply have a plan with a specific number of commercial units with the size and location of those units. The way that you are evaluating a total number of units you are creating with the cluster subdivision but the abstract reserved for future development doesn't show how many lots are going to be subdivided for the purposes of the subdivision. Joshua stated that it does not show use, commercial use, for example, a solar field versus a sports complex. Sam stated that DOT is going to ask us for hypothetical, he will use more intense use there to over analyze the driveway.

Clifton Mathieu in reference to the public well, other residents have high salinity due to winter maintenance on the highway. So, he is concerned about having an adequate water supply for this proposal for this number of units.

Tyson wanted to mention that the whole area is over the aquifer protection area. Greg stated that they are aware of this and are going to look into this issue.

Beth said that the cover letter was not clear with the issue of open space for 175 recreation and wanted to be sure that it was part of the conversation. 176 Megan asked if they knew how many abutters there are and Sam said that there 177 are less than 6 or 7, one is the state highway. 178 Megan asked can we expect a full impact assessment from you and Sam asked 179 if she was referring to a fiscal impact and his answer was yes there will be a full 180 impact assessment. 181 Joshua asked if they had thought about doing a reversal and put the housing at 182 the back of the lot. Keith said that it is closer to the highway at the back of the 183 lot. 184 Sam asked the board if there was a commercial unit subtracting from the density 185 calculation. Joshua replied that we need to read our ordinance, this is a legal 186 question. Brandan said that knowing what the commercial business is important 187 for that determination because, as a town if we leave it unspecified commercial, 188 we can get any type of commercial. 189 Greg said that you are required to have a condo agreement, so the condo 190 agreement would be a way to address the commercial purpose (list of things it 191 can be or can't) Erol said that they have talked about this as a development team 192 and we realize we are mixing residential with commercial so we will come back 193 with the condominium document. Beth asked if the commercial portion 194 development would allow for an ADU within the commercial development. Greg 195 answered that we have to look at this more carefully about how the State ADU 196 law applies to condominium developments. 197 Sam asked about density calculations, he needs to know about the design, is 198 there a means of vetting that question with our attorney and the town's attorney. 199 Brandan said that the town counsel advises the board, but the board makes the 200 decision and if there is a question on interpretation of the zoning ordinance then 201 that is a question that if we have to interpret it then it is appealable to the ZBA. 202 Keith asked if we could have a discussion in between the next meeting so we 203 can move forward, and Greg responded that you could discuss with 204 administration but not with the board and suggested it would be helpful to bring 205 forth a list with specific questions as soon as possible. 206 The following questions at present:

207 1. How do dimensional requirements apply to the commercial part of the 208 cluster development, the commercial density and residential co 209 density? 210 211 2. ADU in a commercial building/condominium. 212 213 Greg said he will propose these questions to the town administrator and 214 land use administrator and determine how we come up with some 215 answers for Sam and his team and if you have other questions, you can put 216 them in writing as quickly as possible. 217 Conceptual consultation ended at 8:06 PM 218 4. Application Fee Schedule: 219 Gail and Ken worked on the Planning Board Fee Comparison Study. In general, our 220 fees are very low but after discussion the board proposed asking Gail and Ken to 221 come back with suggestions for prices. Fees must be approved by the 222 selectboard. 223 5. <u>CUP form</u>: (conditional use permits) Greg read through some highlights of the CUP which has grown in popularity when 224 225 an innovative project is beneficial, essential or desirable to a community but when 226 the nature of the use or necessitates more specific or special considerations or 227 mitigations to manage concerns and he stated that this is what we have just gone 228 through tonight with the conceptual consultation where we were talking about 229 community concerns and what the town wants. The CUP gives us flexibility to do it 230 but also gives us some authority. The CUP form states that the municipality 231 typically makes the approval contingent on satisfaction of certain conditions. In this 232 way the CUP authorizes the use which allows the proposed project to move forward 233 while placing guard rails around the project which can give greater municipal control 234 or safeguards to community concerns. 235 Joshua stated that with a special exception or waiver the process is very clear and 236 the legal guard rails are pretty clear and established whereas the CUP gives us 237 authority and discretion and that it is easy to abuse and even easier to allege that we 238 have abused it. Joshua said he has been a proponent of CUP for years but now that 239 we have one, he is concerned and does not know anything about what experience 240 other towns have had with them. Greg suggested that we could ask CNHRP.

Brandan said his wife wrote an article about CUPs versus special exceptions and

variances so he is very familiar but said that your ordinances should be very clear

about what goes into it. (under Innovated Land Use Controls is the statute) Greg

241

242

continued on and read that as with all land use permits an attorney is wise to consult with specific zoning ordinances to determine the process criteria and municipal body that will govern the CUP and advises that talking to an attorney with the questions raised. Greg wanted a definite answer to whether the CUP is not appealable to the zoning board only to the superior court. Joshua said that he believes that is correct. Brandan said that any decision that we make that requires us to interpret or apply zoning ordinance could be appealed to the zoning board.

250251252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

244

245

246

247

248

249

Greg read that the flexibility of a CUP can be used to obtain approval of a project that is otherwise blocked, the same flexibility puts significant power in the hands of the municipal. Again, the statues are clear that a municipal authority may qualify approval on the satisfaction of certain conditions, but the statues are again silent about what those conditions may be. This seems to imply that the conditions do not need to be in the ordinances. Joshua said that they are in the order that we issue. Greg gave the example, the dimensional requirement for commercial uses, they were implying that it does not say exactly how that is applied in our ordinance, and he thinks that is possibly correct, but we know how we want it to apply, and this seems to indicated that we have the flexibility to say that is how it is. Joshua said that he thinks the flexibility can be unenumerated in any of the statues or ordinances so if we have something that is close to a wetland, we can say we don't want you building there and the condition is that you can't put your horse paddock there and we can make it up as we go along and that is a problem. Logan stated that we can't have an ordinance that covers every possible outcome. Joshua said that is what the table of uses theoretically is for.

267268269

6. Previous Minutes from October 8, 2024

270271

Motion to approve draft minutes moved by Rich, seconded by Megan, all in favor Aye.

272273274

7. Old Business:

275276

277

a. Data Centers have two problems, aesthetics, and resource usage. Data Centers are out of character for Canterbury but are permitted right now. Joshua proposed putting a line in our table of uses, for data centers. Greg asked Joshua to do research on other states.

278279280

8. Other Business:

282 Regulations will be available on October 31, according to Matt at CNHRP. 283 b. Master Plan: 284 We are bogged down with layout issues. Beth has signed on working directly 285 with the municipal association with the next phase of layout. 286 c. Rich spoke with Mike Tardiff regarding the waste section, new law so he 287 downloaded a copy of the state of NH Master plan dated 2022 and is going to 288 put something together and Mike will review. 289 d. Logan shared this will be the last or next to last meeting depending on the 290 level of involvement will have to recuse from the Meridian Pre Application 291 Conceptual because Erol Duymazlar (Developer consultant for 114 West 292 Road) is a client. 293 **Action Items:** 294 1. Greg said he will propose these questions to the town administrator and land 295 use administrator and determine how we come up with some answers for 296 Meridian Land: 297 How do dimensional requirements apply to the commercial part of the 298 cluster development, the commercial density and residential co 299 density? 300 ADU in a commercial building/condominium. 301 2. Ask Gail and Ken to come back with suggestions for fees for updating the 302 Application Fee Schedule. (Fees must be approved by the selectboard). 303 3. Greg to ask Mike or Matt to do a discussion on CUP at the next meeting if time 304 allows. 305 4. Greg asked Joshua to do research on Data Centers in other states. 306 5. Site plan and Subdivision Regulation hopefully to adopt on November 12th. 307 Next Meeting is November 12, 2024 308 Kent Moved to adjourn at 8:49, Logan seconded. All voted in favor. 309 Respectfully Submitted, 310 Michelle Hammond, planning board secretary 311