Planning Board Meeting and Hearings for Master Plan Hearings

2 Tuesday May 14, 2024, 6:30 pm Town Hall and Meeting House - FINAL Minutes

3 Members Present

1

- 4 Greg Meeh, (Chair), John Schneider, (Vice Chair), Rich Marcou, Logan Snyder, Megan
- 5 Portnoy, Kent Ruesswick (BOS rep), and alternates Hillary Nelson, Brendan O'Donnell and
- 6 Ben Stonebraker.

7 Members absent

8 Joshua Gordon and Jonas Sanborn (alternate)

9 Others Present

- 10 In the Town Hall: Ruth Mann, Silvia Styles, Kal McKay, (Administrative Assistant), Calvin
- 11 Todd, Ron Routhier, (CHS), Ellen and Bob Scarponi, Beth Blair (selectman), Fred Portnoy,
- 12 Beth McGuinn, Alan Hodgson, Scott Doherty (selectman), Cheryl and Tom Franco; Mike
- 13 Tardiff, (Director CNHRPC).
- 14 In the Meeting House: Beth McClure; Web Stout (surveyor), Sam Papps and Jan Cote,
- 15 Cemetery Trustees; Scott Doherty, Kal McKay, Calvin Todd.

16 Agenda

19

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29 30

31

17 **1. Call to Order**

18 Greg Meeh called the meeting to order at 6.35 pm.

2. Presentation of Draft Master Plan chapters on Land Use and Housing

- This work on the Master Plan had been in progress for 4 years, it was hoped it would be
- 21 ending in July. He introduced the members of the Planning Board.
 - a) Rich Marcou started the presentation of the <u>Existing and Future Land Use</u> draft chapter, which was 14 pages and he had 20 minutes. It had been online for some time. Rich worked through the different sections of the chapter starting with:
 - The community survey from 2020 which showed that residents wished to preserve the rural and small-town residential nature of the town. There was concern about the tax rate and also support for development in the commercial zone.
 - The History of Land Use section referred back to the native tribes and key findings that a high priority was put on protecting agricultural uses and preserving farmland: there was concern for the lack of housing available for different age groups and income levels. Rich noted the Board had made adjustments to the land use

regulations for primarily cluster development, and some tweaks to the ADU ordinance. There was also a strong desire to see economic development near the highways and the Rt 106 corridor.

- Existing land use agricultural land is an important resource for the town. Landowners traditionally opened their lands for hunting, fishing and recreation, giving benefits to the public. In NH very little land is posted but landowners are entitled to post their land. There are many properties with stipulated public access, such as state lands, town lands, other private lands with access through conservation easements. On page 4.4 the 4 major state-owned lands open to the public were listed, there are 3 town owned, and also a list under Housing and Land Use listing challenges for people like older folks downsizing and younger folks looking for affordable first time housing. The same groups are competing for the same housing. He listed some of the ways the Board has tried to address this, with cluster provisions and density bonuses, as well as reviewing the site plan and subdivision regulations, to streamline those.
- Development constraints these include wetlands, steep slopes, floodplains, aguifers, most of Canterbury uses private wells.
- Land Use regulations this was a section on the zoning in town with agriculture being over 49% of the land in town. Rich read the different acreages needed for subdivisions in the different zones. He moved on to page 4.7, including mention of the goal to revive an Economic Development Committee it would promote assisting development of the commercial zone. And broadband development which is happening in the near future. Also the brown field assessment of the old gas station at Exit 18., with the state agencies that can help.
- On page 4.8, Management of Development and Mixed-Use section (residential housing allowed with commercial). The column on left hand side showed 8 goals to be fostered, suggestions moving forward, such as to preserve special features, maintain orderly growth, reduce sprawl, provide a broad range of housing opportunities, protect property values and preserve agricultural uses (not land but uses).
- On page 4.9 there is reference to ADUs to create additional housing, and to the "building permit cap", which is currently set at 3%. This means the town cannot issue more than 3% of the total of single-family homes in Canterbury. And the last paragraph mentions constraints on land use.
- On page 4.10, Rich read the '10 Factors that could create a significantly higher rate
 of development in Canterbury in the future' most of these are self-explanatory.
 Rich read some examples such as the widening of I 93, or increased land values
 leading farmers to sell their land.

- Page 4.11, New Challenges and Concerns lists a number of national, state and local issues and concerns – Rich suggested things are very tenuous right now.
- The last part was the Objectives and Recommendations 1. is to encourage a mix of uses and densities in the appropriate parts of town 2. Preserve and promote the agricultural heritage of the town. He noted that the other goals were all designed to accomplish the one about agricultural heritage. On the next page, objective 3. was about promoting economic development in the areas of town well suited for business including the Exit 18 brownfields. 4. Encourage construction of housing for residents of all ages and income levels. Rich noted that was big when he ran for election to the PB.
- Greg asked if there were questions from the public.
- 81 Calvin Todd said he lives off Hackleboro Road, which is half agricultural and half rural, and
- he asked if it would be a road for development and changing zoning. Greg said every time
- that comes up, residents are very resistant to any changes to zoning. If there was a real
- reason to and a driving force they would consider it but people have not been interested in
- 85 that in the past. Calvin had heard something. Rich said there had been discussion at one
- meeting, but nothing was in the works. Greg noted the Select Board issues permits on
- 87 roads, not the Planning Board, and there were no plans to change zoning anywhere in town.
- 88 Beth McGuinn of Southwest Road thanked the Board for including climate change under
- 89 new challenges and concerns, thank you from the Energy and Community Power
- 90 Committees. They also wanted to see solar mentioned. There were very few places where
- 91 there could be 5-25 acres of solar, to put on roofs and back yards. Many people cannot do
- 92 that and there are only a few places in town where it would be possible. So, Beth urged
- 93 them to make sure it could be done, and list it in the Table of Uses. And do it without a
- variance. A hotel and business is allowed but solar, that just sits there and just needs some
- 95 mowing is not listed so consider it as a real opportunity to increase taxes on something that
- 96 is not a disturbance to people in town. Greg said the Board was friendly to residential solar
- 97 but didn't have anything for commercial in the ordinance and it should be included. Hillary
- 98 Nelson recollected 2 projects that had come before the Board with an idea near to 193 but
- 99 they never came back again. Megan Portnoy asked about maintenance of solar panels
- 100 regarding snow. Beth said whatever access there is required there will also be for snow
- 101 plowing.

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

- 102 Ellen Scarponi of Clough Pond Road also wished to raise an issue from the Community
- 103 Power committee. Whenever they talked about upgrading broadband, she asked if they
- include an upgrade for cellular provision too. Rich said everyone across the country is
- having that problem primarily because there are not cell towers and people won't agree to

- 106 them. Ellen said Comcast has an agreement for broadband and an agreement with Verizon
- so they could be pushed to ask Verizon to do something. Please include that in the Master
- 108 Plan. Greg mentioned he had heard there was a move to find a cell company to go behind
- the municipal complex. Ellen said the CCPC is looking at where the black holes are, so add
- increased cell coverages in the Master Plan. Greg said they would add them Ellen said to
- 111 4.7 and 4.10.
- 112 Megan asked if there were town rebates for Star Link. Scott Doherty said no, not that he was
- 113 aware of. Scott added there is no town contract with Comcast because they refuse to
- remove their density clause in the contract but they should reach all households by
- 115 'juneish'. They are proceeding. (Hard to hear on recording). He did not think there was room
- 116 for a cell tower at the community safety center.
- 117 Megan just wanted to see in the expansion of broadband there might also be rebates for
- repeaters. She has fiber run to her house, and she gets cell service through wireless. There
- 119 was further discussion about wi fi repeaters, cellular repeaters, and/or extenders, cost of
- the two companies, Comcast and Consolidated and deficiencies in service.
- 121 Fred Portnoy mentioned the Consolidated fiber product. Mike Tardiff commented that they
- had tried to get it to Loudon, that did not happen, but now it was federal money being used.
- 123 Ellen Scarponi, a former employee of Consolidated, said she knew they would still be
- interested in talking to Canterbury. Maybe they could reach out and ask for another
- 125 proposal. Greg agreed some competition would be good, maybe they could talk to Ken
- 126 Folsom and the Select Board as it was important.
- 127 Bob Scarponi asked if there was an economic commerce committee in the past. Greg said
- 128 yes, it was many years ago and long atrophied.
 - b) Housing Draft Chapter

- John Schneider, Logan Snyder and Greg Meeh shared the presentation of this draft chapter.
- 131 • John opened from the first few pages. The community survey showed concern re 132 availability and affordability of housing for the elderly and young families. In the Key 133 Findings section, Canterbury has one of the highest proportions of owner-occupied 134 single-family homes in the region, it has the highest median home value compare to 135 surrounding towns and highest single family housing stock. He also stated ADUs are 136 now allowed by right, the Workforce Housing ordinance needs updating, and cluster 137 development could help to address affordability as well as land preservation. John 138 referred to the relatively lower percentage of rental properties in town. (Hard to hear 139 next few sentences). The Concord Monitor recently had listed the median price of a

house in NH being \$500,000, but John had talked to Mike Tardiff and learned the state-wide median value of a house was now closer to \$515,000 (correction from John from the draft Minutes). He referred to census data. Canterbury has a smaller number of housing units than surrounding communities. Greg said that John's comment about the number of homes in Canterbury, one needed to figure in that Canterbury is a small town in terms of acreage – it is much smaller than Loudon, Belmont and Boscawen.

- Logan addressed <u>School Enrollment and current demographic trends</u> the numbers in the draft chapter print out are not quite up to date there was a printout at the back of the room that was more current. Overall, Logan said, the school enrollment in Canterbury was on a slight decline but not dramatically, and it was more stable than the state as a whole. They were not at capacity in the school, and residents believe it is important to have their own elementary school. School enrollment is relevant for housing. Logan asked if there were questions on school enrollment.
- Calvin Todd said there were in fact far more kids in town than the school enrollment data shows. There was a surplus of young kids attending private schools and on average about 18% kids leave the district. Change one administrator and you will get a lot more kids in the public school, it was something to think about. Enrollment at present, this month, was at 111. Rich noted the state uses numbers from October 1. Calvin said if you track it monthly it is different. Greg added that there had always been a fair number of kids going to private or religious schools in town, that always been the case. Calvin agreed, but in terms of increasing housing in town, keep on the backburner that they are 25 kids shy of the capacity in the school. So that was important for longer term planning. Greg agreed that the kid number calculation would be very important for anything the Board might be approving other than a single-family home. Beth McGuinn noted that in the data, it starts at 95, which is deceiving, and if it started with zero maybe it would show the true scale. Logan asked Mike to make a note of that.
- Logan moved on to page 6.6, <u>Fair Share analysis</u>. This is a set of statistics that illustrate housing needs projected out to 2040, an assessment of the need for housing across the region and state, because the town is not in a vacuum. Based on population projections for Canterbury, on page 6.7, the fair share analysis shows 178 new housing units would be needed by 2040, or 9 new units per year. That is well within the bounds of past records, with an outlier in 2020. The town has to plan to take on their part of the Fair Share, but it is not a mandate and there is no penalty for not meeting it.

• Questions? Greg said that what often comes up in talking about Fair Share is related to workforce housing and affordable housing. These all have definitions and are defined by percentage of median income in your town – the fair share is supposed to supply some of the affordable housing.

- Greg talked about the last sections, starting with 6.8 on ADUs. The reason the town is keeping up with housing needs is because of having ADUs. Canterbury allowed these before the state legislated mandating them in all zones that permit single family homes. They are smaller units, limited to 1000 sq ft, so they make an affordable option for ageing in place and for young adults. The cost of renting is much lower than for a whole house, so the town is fulfilling the need for affordable housing for the Fair Share using ADUs.
- Greg moved on to the section on <u>Cluster Residential Development</u>. The incentive started with conservation, so if someone had a 500-acre parcel, they could turn some into housing and the they would preserve some of that area by offering density bonuses. Dedicate to open space and get more lots. It helped to keep costs lower. Building roads is very expensive so on a large lot, the road will cost as much as the house construction. He referred to the list of dimensional standards, on page 6.8 listing zoning and related acreages, designed for protection of certain areas. Turning to Growth management, he said the building cap is the strongest current restriction on growth and the town had not come close to it in recent years. The Board was trying to sort out with the building department how to record building permits. Will permits be the same for ADUs and single-family homes. There was an issue in the past of not counting ADUs and had distorted figures.
- Impact fees normally these address things like water and sewer expensive things, but in Canterbury they address schools and roads. If there were 30 new homes, it would be about kids in school and money for new classrooms etc. These are also related to the CIP, like a new fire engine or new building, or a new school bus. But it is not maintenance and not labor so the real cost of educating 20 more kids is not reflected in impact fees nor the CIP. It will be much higher.
- Manufactured housing is the term that mostly refers to trailer park homes. We have some in the rural and residential zones – they can be approved by Special Exception. No – these need site plan review from the Planning Board and a permit from the BOS. The town has always had a resistance to that kind of housing. Workforce housing was touched on talking about ADUs helping them fulfil their requirement.
- Objectives 1. Was to support a mix of housing types and densities in Canterbury, including to consider the development of mixed-use zones in the vicinity of Exits 17, 18 and Rt 106 to accommodate a mix of housing and small-scale retail/office uses.

- In the last Town Meeting, Greg said that the town approved some zoning changes to make some small changes in the commercial zone. It is incremental so any resulting change can be seen and addressed if needed. If there is an impact that was unexpected there is a chance to change it.
 - Objective 2 to promote the use of cluster subdivisions and other zoning tools to increase the availability of housing the goal is to make it more affordable, and not end up with 20 McMansions spread out on 5 acres lots. This will require incremental changes a lot of small changes work a bit better for applicants who want to subdivide without encroaching on other resident values. They had identified some further small changes to make at the next Town Meeting but nothing of significance at this point.
 - Objective 3. Encourage the development of additional residential units that are smaller and more affordable to accommodate downsizing seniors and young families, so again, that was back to the ADUs. It would also be appropriate for commercial clusters, and an ADU over the business the idea of the cluster is to allow a developer to make their profit without building a million and half dollar house. It is one of the goals. Also, the town is required to reevaluate the existing building permit cap, it has to be justified with a Capital Improvement Plan and reviewed every 2 years for it to be legal. It is part of what was called Innovative Land Use Planning Law in NH so they have to do it.
- Greg invited questions.

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

- 236 Silvia Styles, of Shaker Road, pointed out the use of the term 'manufactured homes', new
- ones are prohibited, but the term has expanded over the years. She used to live in a
- 238 colonial that was built in a factory, transported and put on the lot, that was a manufactured
- 239 home. The language needed clarifying. Megan asked if the distinction was between
- 240 'prefabricated versus manufactured, where there's not much customization'. Mike said
- 241 they would clarify that. Logan summarized some of that and said Mike was going to clarify it
- to be clearer on the distinction.
- 243 Alan Hodgson, of Baptist Hill Road, asked about the 3% building cap, not the percentage
- but the actual number. Greg said, 3% of 3000? Mike Tardiff noted it was actually the
- number of dwelling units, 1,040, so 3% of that. Alan said he was looking for a core number.
- 246 Logan said the number of permissible building permits per year was something in the high
- 247 20s and they had never come close to that.
- 248 Alan also asked if there was a general number for workforce and affordability that had
- come up in the discussions. IF they wanted to build workforce housing, what would the
- value of that house be? Mike answered saying the average median household income for

251 Merrimack Valley is \$115,000 pa now – so 60% of that, for Fair Share is \$60,000 per 252 household. Alan wanted to know what was the value of the house, if he earned over 253 \$70,000, how much would the house be. Mike said they were talking income, not a number 254 for that house. Greg asked if there was a number for rental. Mike said the average of the 255 average is \$115,000 and about 60% of that – for rentals – that is how the whole Fair Share 256 works out. (Hard to hear Mike from side). 257 Calvin Todd asked regarding Growth Management, with projections to 2040 and given the 258 current zoning ordinances in town, were they going to meet that growth projection for Fair 259 Share? Mike responded it was a target number not a requirement, it is more qualitative, 260 about the town doing the things they can, and Canterbury was doing that having 261 conversations about ADUs and so on. Logan said it would be fair to say they were 262 approximately building houses per year to meet that Fair Share number, with something 263 like 9 new permits per year. Calvin said he was asking, under the current zoning structure, 264 with 50% rural and agricultural, did the town have enough land available for building? He 265 was curious about a 16-year projection and what forethought went into it. Greg said it was a 266 difficult question. There are many pieces of land in town that are buildable lots, large, for 20 267 houses – with frontage and acreage. The owner does not want to sell. John commented that 268 residents were also building now on lots they would not have done 50 years ago – ones with 269 steep slopes, wetlands. Calvin said he did not want to see change in zoning at all – how do 270 they best prevent sprawl into the town's rural areas in 10 years' time, he did not want 271 Canterbury becoming Hooksett or Bow. Greg responded there was no penalty if they did 272 not reach these numbers, but there is the ability for the Select Board to say a part of town is 273 not available for building, done in consultation with residents so they can protect parts of 274 town. 275 He asked Mike, what happens when a town reaches 'build out'? Mike said some areas have 276 almost reached that like Bow, closer to Concord. They bridge wetlands now. Regional 277 Planning has done 'build outs' in some communities. Concord will be in the next 20 years. 278 What does it mean, Greg asked. Mike said a lot of leaps. Especially if there is a large lot, 279 and someone wants to subdivide, looking at it on a large lot to large lot basis. Ellen (?) 280 asked if there was a penalty in that case, or did the town just say they were closed for 281 business? Several people responded at once. Greg said it was not their responsibility to 282 find a developer a lot to build on. 283 Fred Portnoy raised the issue of energy consumption in construction as it related to 284 building codes. He knew the town was under the state in terms of building code, he had 285 been tracking a couple bills in the Legislature this year, where they had talked about moving

the 2018 code to 2021, with the exception of the energy and conservation, but what they

287 288 289 290 291 292	ended up doing was leaving it all at the 2018 code. So, Canterbury cannot use a different building code, but he wondered if they could they say to a builder that they would encourage them to use the most recent, the most energy efficient building code that is available, even if it is not required. Greg suggested maybe they could offer bonuses for density or dimensional requirement reduction – put that on the list to talk about the energy efficiency incentives. Calvin asked about adding solar with incentives.
293 294 295	Beth Blair let people know about House Bill 521 in the Legislature, where the state is looking at mandating 2 ADUs per home, it is not decided yet, people can track it and weigh in.
296 297	Greg announced the Board had to move over to the Meeting House and thanked everyone for coming.
298	*********
299	In the Meeting House – 7.45 onwards
300 301 302 303	Greg stated that since Joshua Gordon was not there, Ben would be the alternate since Brendan had been seated last time. The secretary mentioned using the draft CUP form for this application as an experiment and there were a few things to take back to regional planning about it.
304 305	3. Application for a Conditional Use Permit for the construction of a Detached ADU from Beth and Ron McClure, Southwest Road
306 307 308 309	Beth McClure, of Southwest Road, presented her request to build a detached ADU which would be about 175 feet from their house. Their daughter will live in it. They will make it handicap accessible so if they were to need it, they would switch houses. They are on Southwest Road, among their neighbors were the Sojkas.
310 311 312 313 314 315 316	Greg referred to Article 18 and said there were a number of issues, and all were addressed except for one problem. On page 98 at the top of page 3, listing the additional standards, it said that a detached ADU shall not be constructed on an existing non-conforming lot. The frontage here makes it a non-conforming lot. Greg had contacted the NHMA and they said the way to deal with this was through a zoning variance. He believed, from recent trainings, that if the applicant got a variance, they would not have to come back here to the Planning Board.
317 318 319 320	Kent said it was a conforming lot when it was originally subdivided back in the 70s. He asked if they were now telling all those people that they are now non-conforming? Beth said they bought it in 1986 and it was good then. Greg said that all those folks would have to get zoning variances if they also wanted to put in detached ADUs. Megan asked when the

ordinance changed about what was conforming versus non-conforming - was there anything about grandfathering in lots? Greg said the lots are grandfathered but that does not mean they are conforming. Brendan said the term to use was 'lawful non-conforming', meaning they were conforming at the time, they are still lawful, but non-conforming. Greg said he was interested in finding out how they could make this happen and asked Brendan for advice. Brendan said follow the ordinance as it was written. Greg asked for a motion for the Board to make a decision, referring the applicant to the Zoning Board to request a variance. John moved that and Kent seconded. All members voted in favor. Beth then asked, was this a done deal, if they got a variance, they would no longer have to come for the Conditional Use Permit? Greg asked Brendan for advice, and he said what they could do was approve with a condition that the applicant get a variance for the one piece they don't conform with. Greg said they needed to rescind that last vote and asked the Board to work through the

conditions, and see if they could approve a Conditional prerequisite for a zoning variance for the CUP. Hillary asked if they should vote to rescind. **Megan made the motion to rescind and Kent seconded.** All in favor.

Members went through the Article 18 requirements. There is not yet a check list for this kind of application. Beth said the proposed detached ADU was exactly 1000 sq ft of gross floor area, and the basement would be 5 ft 11 ins, (ie not over 6 feet) - their architect found a way to do that and meet requirements. There was only one ADU proposed. The primary unit would be owner occupied, they had 5 parking spaces and met all setbacks. The attic would not be heated, though it had a separate outside entrance. They had the septic plan and state number approval. There was a separate well, and the primary unit will use the same one as the ADU. There was a common driveway. There was no condo. On the next page, all the issues listed there were 'not applicable'. In the list of 18.5 requirements, the applicant had supplied a photo and elevation drawings.

Rich moved to approve the detached ADU conditionally with the prerequisite condition that the applicant receive a variance from the Zoning Board of Adjustment for Article 18.3, 3. A. John seconded. There being no further discussion, all members present voted in favor.

Greg thanked Beth for coming in and wished her luck with the zoning board.

4. Hannon and Town of Canterbury c/o Cemetery Trustees Lot Line Adjustment

Documents were handed out. Greg read from a handout of the subdivision regs, regarding expedited lot line adjustment for applications where there was no buildable lot being created. These could be heard in one meeting. This applicant was a town board. There

356 357	were no abutters present, so if there was no objection they would process this application in one evening.
358	Web Stout, surveyor, presented for the Cemetery Trustees. He gave out site maps. They
359	were asking for an expedited hearing for the lot line adjustment. There were no new lots
360	being created. One was just getting a bit smaller. He traced with his finger on the map
361	where the existing lot was, showed the cemetery over to the left – and the parcel to be
362	annexed to the cemetery. Lot 25 had been subdivided twice in the past, back in 1978 and
363	again in 2000. Now they were back asking for a lot line adjustment. The remaining lot is 12
364	acres. They are not touching frontage. The additional annexed parcel was .459 acres so it
365	would make the cemetery 6.659 acres. They were requesting a waiver for the wetlands.
366	There was no home or no septic to be considered. Kent confirmed that the wetlands in the
367	cemetery were down at the bottom, the piece in question was high, level and dry. The
368	existing stone wall will remain. Web said they have to work round the existing grave plots.
369	There being no further questions or discussion, Greg started the motion process. It would
370	state that it is in compliance with the zoning ordinance. There would be 3 waiver requests,
371	for wetlands, topography and soils. Megan asked why a waiver was needed for wetlands if it
372	was dry. Greg said it was on the check list.
373	Rich moved to grant a waiver for wetlands, topography and soils. Megan seconded. All
374	voted in favor of granting the waiver.
375	Greg moved to approve the lot line adjustment noting it is in compliance with the
376	ordinance. Ben seconded. All voted in favor.
377	Web thanked the Board.
378	*************
379	5. Previous Draft Minutes of April 23, 2024
380	Greg suggested giving the Board time to read the transcription and deal with it at the next
381	meeting. There would be fewer changes as it was like a transcription. Logan said it would
382	be good to put those minutes to bed. Rich suggested they vote on the April 23 draft
383	minutes, transcription version, rather than kick more minutes down the road. It had been
384	shared, Rich, John and Megan had read it. Greg said there was a video version too, that was
385	a place someone could go to look something up. Rich moved the Minutes of April 23, the
386	transcription version. John seconded.

Megan asked to discuss 2 minor corrections. Line 1034 it should read 'word doc', Lois had put a question mark there not sure she had heard across the room. And at line 1015 it should read 'time' not 'technically'.

Now that there were these changes, Greg withdrew the motion. Rich made a new motion to accept the amended transcription minutes of April 23. Megan seconded. There being no further discussion, all members voted in favor, with the exception of Ben who abstained since he was not present that evening.

6. Update for Rules and Procedures and Bylaws document

Greg this was giving notice of issues to be ready for the May 28 work session. Copies were given out. The secretary had tried to add the things that were voted on last time and indicate some places where further items would be added. Members had a chance to look through it, Greg said.

He is asking questions to the town counsel about agenda procedures, recusal procedures, time limits in hearings, husband and wife serving on the board, alternates on subcommittees, minutes procedure, something about electronic devices, not restricting the use, but not communicating with anybody about Planning Board business.

Megan asked to include the issue of members receiving the same information at the same 404 time in that list.

And not drabbing info out but sending out in packets – likely twice though – materials as soon as they were received and then with the agenda. The secretary said she did not always have control over when things came in. Or copiers did not work. There was a discussion about town copiers. Kal confirmed the Library did have a new copier and it would be there if needed.

7. New Business

- (a) Greg said it was old business, about the code of conduct and ethics. The board had decided to see what comes from the Selectmen. The more he thought about it, he felt it should be a town wide function, with a code of conduct for everybody. He asked if there were other opinions. Logan agreed. Megan asked if it was something that would have to go to Town Meeting, Greg thought not but invited Scott to comment. Scott said they were weeks away from having a preliminary version. Beth has the draft at present. Greg said it was complex, he had talked to the NHMA and town counsel, it could run up against First Amendment issues. It should be town wide for all committees.
- (b) Road waiver language that is also for the next working session. He had several materials to be shared so that the Board could make a recommendation to the Selectmen. He, Hillary and Rich had attended the Hard Road to Travel workshop, where they learned that to be clear for road waivers, it has to be clear what type of

- road it is. Our road waiver form now says Class VI or private, and there are very different issues for the different applicants.
- (c) Logan asked if the Board was now doing transcripts as a norm. They hoped not. The Minutes should be a brief summary and have the transcript available. Lois said there had been some past difficulties with downloads and asked for access to at least a recording to check on things she puts question marks at the side. Logan felt strongly that the minutes should be more brief. Greg recalled Brendan making the point last time that there should be more detail if there was a hearing. Lois said if there was a delay in getting a recording and someone asked to see the minutes within the 5 days and it had been complicated then all she could do was show what she had at that time. Greg said he would ask more questions from counsel, about more summary minutes for general parts and more specific minutes for hearings. It was pretty clear from the law what has to be in them. Megan said it was not so much that an applicant wanted to go back and see the minutes accurately but if the decision for whatever reason goes to court it bolsters the justification for the decision, so it is helpful to have that level of specificity and the written decision is a legal requirement. Brendan said he had been back and checked after last week. It is slightly different, if the Board denies an application, they have to state their reasons for that decision so it could be even more narrow than a hearing, but it doesn't hurt on any hearing where abutters may want to challenge it. So, Greg said Lois will do more summary minutes but more detail for a hearing.
- (d) Megan stated she will be writing a letter to this Board as requested, it will be publicly available, she was not expecting or asking for any discussion on it, it is for the record, because there were some serious misunderstandings of her letter, some mischaracterizations, so that can be expected probably some time this week. Greg said once they see the letter they will decide whether they just put it in the record or decide to talk about it.
- 451 Rich made a motion to adjourn, and Logan seconded. It was 8.44 pm.
- 452 Respectfully submitted,

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

453 Lois Scribner, secretary.